UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,199	03/16/2004	Ka Leung Ling	US00 0192 USA	3761	
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 01/15/2008		EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE			HASSAN, AURANGZEB		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA			2182		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

<u> </u>			-		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/802,199	LING ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Aurangzeb Hassan	2182		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solution of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The previous of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	eptember 2007.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>21-42</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>21-42</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🔲 :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathbb R$	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	•			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be the Extended to be a supported to be a su	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen		» -	(770 140)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Appeal Brief

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on **9/24/2007**, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The Office Action with the new ground(s) of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 21 - 42 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,715,001, claims 1 - 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,732,255, claims 1 - 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,493,287, and claims 1 - 31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,647,440. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because U.S. Patent No. 6,715,001, U.S. Patent No. 6,493,287, U.S. Patent No. 6,732,255, and U.S. Patent No. 6,647,440 contain all the limitations of the current application and continues to further narrow the applications claims by citing CAN microcontroller environment therefore broadening would dictate obviousness. Furthermore U.S. Patent No. 6,732,255 contains all the limitations of the current application in a verbatim manner expect for narrow claim limitations of a CAN microcontroller environment and therefore broadening would again dictate obviousness.

Comparison is shown below:

Current Application	US Patent # 6,732,255	US Patent # 6,715,001	US Patent # 6,493,287	US Patent # 6,647,440
21,41,42	1	1	1	1
28,35,37,38,40	8	9	14	
22,29	2	15	2	1
23,30	3	8	9	5
24,31	4	2	4	
25	5	2	4	
26,33	6			

Application/Control Number: 10/802,199

Art Unit: 2182

27,34,36,39	7			
32	12	5	13	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 21- 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baji (US Patent Number 5,513,374).
- 6. As per claims 21,41 and 42, Baji teaches a microcontroller, station and system that supports a plurality of message objects (instruction requests are the message objects), comprising: a processor core that runs applications (DSP core 3500, figure 1); a module that processes incoming messages (DMAC 3000 processes instructions over buses, figure 1); data memory (data memory is comprised of two segments: 1st data 1900 and instruction 1400 memory, figure 1 and the 2nd memory mapped registers internal to the DMAC, figure 4B) including a first memory segment that provides a plurality of message buffers associated with respective ones of the message objects (1st segment is the plurality of buffers comprised in the data memory 1900 and the instruction memory 1400, figure 1), and a second memory segment that provides a

plurality of memory-mapped registers for each of the message objects (2nd segment is the plurality of memory-mapped registers seen in figure 4B), the memory-mapped registers for each message object containing respective command/control fields for configuration and setup of that message object (memory mapped column 5, lines 54-64); and, a memory interface unit (the memory interface unit is the Parallel Arbiter 2100, figure 1 by which memory is interfaced through the unit comprising interface 2000 and interface 2400 and the DMAC, figure 1) that permits the processor core and the module to concurrently access a different respective one of the first and second memory segments (column 5, lines 7 -23), and that arbitrates access (column 5, lines 65 - 67 and column 6, lines 1 – 3) to the same one of the first and second memory segments when the processor core and the module request concurrent access to the same one of the first and second memory segments (concurrent access and conflicts thereof, column 6, lines 4 - 32, solution through arbitration rules, column 7, lines 1 -34).

The Examiner notes a message object according to the specification can be considered to be a communication channel over which a complete message, or a succession of messages, can be transmitted (paragraph [0028]) and Baji teaches the channels for messages in figure 1 accordingly.

7. As per claims 28, 35, 37, 38 and 40, Baji teaches a microcontroller and method that supports a plurality of message objects (instruction requests are the message objects), comprising: a processor core that runs applications (DSP core 3500, figure 1);

a module that processes incoming (DMAC 3000 processes instructions over buses, figure 1), wherein the processor core and the module are contained on a single integrated circuit chip (figure 1); data memory including a first memory space (first data memory is comprised of two segments: 1st - data 1900 and instruction 1400 memory, figure 1 and the 2nd – memory mapped registers internal to the DMAC, figure 4B) that is located on the integrated circuit chip and a second memory space that is located off the integrated circuit chip (second data memory space is comprised of memory mapped registers in external memory 2500, figure 1), the first memory space including a first memory segment that provides at least a portion of a message buffer memory space that includes a plurality of message buffers associated with respective ones of the message objects (1st segment is the plurality of buffers comprised in the data memory 1900 and the instruction memory 1400, figure 1), and a second memory segment that provides a plurality of memory-mapped registers for each of the message objects (2nd segment is the plurality of memory-mapped registers seen in figure 4B), the memorymapped registers for each message object containing respective command/control fields for configuration and setup of that message object (memory mapped column 5, lines 54-64); and, a memory interface unit (the memory interface unit is the Parallel Arbiter 2100, figure 1 by which memory is interfaced through the unit comprising interface 2000 and interface 2400 and the parallel I/O interface 4000, figure 1) that permits the processor core and the module to concurrently access a different respective one of the first and second memory spaces (concurrently access on and off-chip memory spaces, column 5, lines 7 -23), that permits the processor core and the module

Application/Control Number: 10/802,199 Page 7

Art Unit: 2182

to concurrently access a different respective one of the first and second memory segments (the Parallel Arbiter 2100, figure 1 by which memory is interfaced through the unit comprising interface 2000 and interface 2400 and the DMAC, figure 1), and that arbitrates access to the second memory space and that arbitrates access to the same one of the first and second memory segments when the processor core and the module request concurrent access to the second memory space or to the same one of the first and second memory segments (concurrent access and conflicts thereof, column 6, lines

8. As per claims 22 and 29, Baji teaches a microcontroller wherein the incoming messages include multi-frame, fragmented messages, and the module automatically assembles the multi-frame, fragmented messages (figures 3B and 3C show the multi-frame fragmented instruction requests handled).

4 - 32, solution through arbitration rules, column 7, lines 1 - 34).

- 9. As per claims 23 and 30, Baji teaches a microcontroller wherein the module includes the memory-mapped registers (figure 4B, column 15, lines 16- 19).
- 10. As per claims 24 and 31, Baji teaches a microcontroller wherein the processor core, the module, and the memory interface unit are contained on a single integrated circuit chip (figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/802,199 Page 8

Art Unit: 2182

11. As per claim 25, Baji teaches a microcontroller wherein the first and second memory segments are contained on the integrated circuit chip (figure 1).

- 12. As per claims 26 and 33, Baji teaches a microcontroller wherein the memory interface unit includes two independent arbiters (consists of more than two arbiters, figure 2).
- 13. As per claims 27, 34, 36 and 39 Baji teaches a microcontroller wherein the memory interface unit arbitrates access according to an alternate winner policy, wherein a previous loser is designated a current winner (initial access is granted based upon a priority scheme, and succeeding accesses are stalled and done in order of receipt, column 7, lines 1 34).
- 14. As per claim 32, Baji teaches a microcontroller wherein the second memory space provides at least a portion of the message buffer memory space (second memory is included in the overall memory space by the DSP, column 5, lines 54 65).

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21 - 42 have been considered but are most in view of the newly cited embodiment of the prior art which constitutes a new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/802,199

Art Unit: 2182

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent Number 5,001,624 discloses processor controlled DMA transferring instruction and data from memory to processor. US Patent Number 5,099,417 discloses DMA and data processing. US Patent Number 5,179,689 discloses data processing with instruction cache. US Patent Number 6,041,387 discloses read/write access to registers having register-file access via CPU. US Patent Number 5,982,684 discloses parallel access to a memory array. XA User Guide discloses on and off-chip memory access with parallel instruction processing in a pipelined microcontroller. The aforementioned cited references all have elements of the current application.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aurangzeb Hassan whose telephone number is (571)272-8625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 9 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Tsai can be reached on (571)272-4176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,199 Page 10

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AΗ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1/9/08